UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/556,264	11/14/2005	Matthew Ledgar	0501026-001	8969	
	29146 7590 10/23/2008 PATWRITE LLC			EXAMINER	
408 W. MAIN S			QIN, JIANCHUN		
MARSHALLTOWN, IA 50158-5759			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2837		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/23/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/556,264	LEDGAR, MATTHEW
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	JIANCHUN QIN	2837
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tid d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron te, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 for 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is FINAL . 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5,7,8,11-13 and 17-20 is/are rejected to. 7) Claim(s) 6,9,10 and 14-16 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination 10. The drawing(s) filed on 14 November 2005 is/Applicant may not request that any objection to the	awn from consideration. ted. for election requirement. her. fare: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected or by objec	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	oate

Application/Control Number: 10/556,264 Page 2

Art Unit: 2837

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The specification is objected to because of the following informalities:

In the specification, following the "TITLE OF THE INVENTION", please add a section "Cross Reference To Related Applications" to provide details about cross-references to other related patent applications, including the application number, date and relationship to the previous filing.

Claim Objection

2. Claim 4 is objected to because the amended language "a plurality of <u>at least one</u> <u>a</u> jingles, metal disks and bell" is indefinite and cannot be clearly understood by the Examiner. Therefore, it is examined based on the best interpretation by the Examiner. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-5, 7, 8, 11-13 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cohen (U. S. Pat. No. 4127053) in view of Bartholomae (U.S. Pat. No. 0976718).

Regarding claim 1, Cohen discloses a compound percussion instrument (Abstract, Figs. 1 and 5) comprising: a first percussive instrument (Figs. 1 and 5, col. 3, lines 4-31 and 51-60); a second percussive instrument (Fig. 1; col. 3, lines 32-41) wherein the first percussive instrument is operable by a player using at least one hand (Figs. 1 and 5; user holds the instrument and waves or shakes his hand to make the jingles to strike the clip 44 or the nut 42) and wherein the second percussive instrument is simultaneously operable by a player using said at least one hand (Fig. 1; col. 3, lines 32-35) wherein said second percussive instrument comprises a hammer (30); an actuator (Fig. 1, the portion of 20 that connects 30 and 31) wherein the actuator is disposed on the first percussive instrument and operates the hammer and causes the hammer to strike a anvil (Fig. 1; col. 3, lines 32-41). Cohen further discloses: wherein the first percussive instrument is a tambourine including a rim (11) on which a plurality of jingles or metal disks are mounted (col. 3, lines 8-18 and 51-60).

Cohen does not mention expressly: said anvil is a part of second percussive instrument, and said actuator operates the hammer and causes the hammer to strike the anvil.

Bartholomae discloses a percussive instrument operable by a player using one hand (Figs. 1-4; page 1, lines 31-54); comprising: a hammer (j or j'); at least one anvil

(d); and actuator (Fig. 1, combination of f and g); wherein said actuator operates the hammer and causes the hammer to strike the anvil (page 1, lines 31-54).

In view of the teaching of Bartholomae, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Cohen to have the handle (20) made from resilient material such as a spring-metal band of Bartholomae such that it can be operated to cause the hammer to directly strike the rim (11), as an anvil, of the first percussive instrument of Cohen, in order to provide an improved musical instrument which is simple in construction, cost-effective, easy to use and which may be operated to give a composite of a plurality of tones and which provide substantial degree of entertainment (Cohen, Abstract; Bartholomae, col. 1, lines 4-14).

Regarding claim 2, Cohen discloses the instrument, wherein the first percussive instrument has a handle and the actuator is disposed on the handle of the first percussive instrument (Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 3, Cohen discloses the instrument, wherein the first percussive instrument comprises at least one of a tambourine, shaker, rattle, and bell (col. 3, lines 51-60).

Regarding claim 4, Cohen discloses the instrument, wherein the first percussive instrument is a tambourine including a rim (11) on which a plurality of jingles or metal disks are mounted (col. 3, lines 8-18 and 51-60).

Regarding claim 5, Cohen discloses the instrument, wherein the hammer (30) is pivotally (col. 3, lines 32-35) mounted on the compound percussion instrument such that

the hammer is pivotally mounted to strike the anvil (col. 3, lines 32-35, i.e., when 30 is struck against a flat surface, it vibrates around).

Regarding claim 7, Cohen discloses the instrument, wherein the hammer is biased to a primed condition (Fig. 1; col. 3, lines 15-41).

Regarding claim 8, Cohen discloses the instrument including the subject matter discussed above except: wherein the hammer is biased using a spring.

Bartholomae teaches a percussive instrument operable by a player using one hand (Figs. 1-4; page 1, lines 31-54), comprising a hammer (j); at least one anvil (d); wherein the hammer is biased using a spring (f).

In view of the teaching of Bartholomae, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Cohen to have the handle (20) made from resilient material such as a spring-metal band in order to maintain the hammer and the anvil in a biased condition thus facilitate the interaction between them (Cohen, Abstract; Bartholomae, col. 1, lines 4-14).

Regarding claim 11, Cohen discloses the instrument including the subject matter discussed above except: wherein the compound percussion instrument includes a single anvil and the hammer is biased to a primed position in which a striking surface of the hammer is spaced from the anvil.

Bartholomae teaches a percussive instrument operable by a player using one hand (Figs. 1-4), comprising: a hammer (j or j'); at least one anvil (d); wherein the hammer is biased to a primed position in which a striking surface of the hammer is spaced from the anvil (Fig. 1; page 1, lines 31-54).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Cohen as taught by Bartholomae in order to provide an improved musical instrument which is cost-effective, easy to use and which may be operated to give rich sounds for substantial degree of entertainment (Cohen, Abstract; Bartholomae, col. 1, lines 4-14).

Regarding claim 12, Cohen discloses the instrument, wherein the hammer is chosen to provide a desired acoustic response (col. 3, lines 45-46).

Regarding claim 13, Cohen does not mention expressly: wherein the hammer is in the form of a temple block comprising a hollow member having at least one opening.

Bartholomae teaches a percussive instrument operable by a player using one hand (Figs. 1-4), comprising: a hammer (j or j'); wherein the hammer is in the form of a temple block comprising a hollow member having at least one opening (Fig. 2).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Cohen as taught by Bartholomae in order to provide an improved musical instrument which is cost-effective, easy to use and which may be operated to give rich sounds for substantial degree of entertainment (Cohen, Abstract; Bartholomae, col. 1, lines 4-14).

Regarding claim 17, Cohen discloses the instrument, wherein an actuator (portion of 20 that connects 30 and 31) is directly connected to the hammer forms an extension therefrom (Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 18, Cohen discloses the instrument, wherein the actuator (Fig. 1, the portion of 20 grasped by the user with his forefinger, middle finger and ring finger)

Art Unit: 2837

is indirectly connected to the hammer via a linkage (Fig. 1, the portion of 20 between the hammer and the actuator).

Regarding claim 19, Cohen discloses the instrument, wherein the actuator is a trigger (col. 3, lines 37-41).

Regarding claim 20, Cohen does not mention expressly: wherein the percussion instrument includes a plurality of hammers actuated by the actuator.

Bartholomae discloses a percussion instrument (Fig. 1 and 2). comprising: a plurality of hammers (j, j'), where each said hammers is in the form of a temple block comprising a hollow member having at least one opening (Fig. 1); an actuator (f), wherein said a plurality of hammers are actuated by the actuator (page 1, lines 37-50).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Cohen as taught by Bartholomae in order to provide an improved musical instrument which is cost-effective, easy to use and which may be operated to give rich sounds for substantial degree of entertainment (Cohen, Abstract; Bartholomae, col. 1, lines 4-14).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 6, 9, 10 and 14-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/556,264 Page 8

Art Unit: 2837

Reasons for Allowance

6. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The primary reason for the allowance of claim 6 is the inclusion of the limitation that the hammer is slidably mounted on the compound percussion instrument to permit the hammer to strike the anvil. It is this limitation found in the claim, as it is claimed in the combination that has not been found, taught or suggested by the prior art of record, which makes this claim allowable over the prior art.

The primary reason for the allowance of claims 9 and 10 is the inclusion of the limitation that the hammer is biased to a primed condition with an over centre pivotal mount having opposed anvils on either side of the pivot point. It is this limitation found in each of the claims, as it is claimed in the combination that has not been found, taught or suggested by the prior art of record, which makes these claims allowable over the prior art.

The primary reason for the allowance of claims 14-16 is the inclusion of the limitation that the hammer is in the form of a temple block that strikes opposed anvils on either side of a pivotal mount. It is this limitation found in each of the claims, as it is claimed in the combination that has not been found, taught or suggested by the prior art of record, which makes these claims allowable over the prior art.

Contact Information

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jianchun Qin whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Application/Control Number: 10/556,264 Page 9

Art Unit: 2837

5981. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am - 5:30pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Walter Benson can be reached on (571) 272-2227.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/J. Q./ Examiner, Art Unit 2837

/Walter Benson/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2837